

STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT OF INCLUSIVE EDUCATION FOR STRENGTHENING PLURALISM AND MULTICULTURALISM

Rere Pahira Nawar¹, Fatmaridah Sabani²

¹²Universitas Islam Negeri Palopo, Indonesia

Corresponding Author:

Rere Pahira Nawar,
Universitas Islam Negeri Palopo, Palopo, South Sulawesi, Indonesia
Email: 2102706575@uinpalopo.ac.id

Article Info

Received: June 09, 2026

Revised: July 05, 2026

Accepted: July 15, 2026

Online Version: July 31, 2026

Abstract

This conceptual article examines how inclusive education can be managed strategically to strengthen pluralism and multiculturalism in diverse and digitally connected societies. It uses a narrative literature review and conceptual synthesis of 23 publications assembled in the source manuscript. The literature was organised into three themes: active pluralism in the global-digital context, strategies for strengthening tolerance and diversity, and inclusive education as a mechanism for value internalisation. The review shows that recognition of diversity is insufficient when it remains passive. Sustainable pluralism requires dialogue, cultural intelligence, anti-discrimination policy, social and digital literacy, collaborative learning, educator role modelling, and cooperation among schools, families, communities, and public institutions. The article proposes an integrated framework consisting of inclusive governance, curriculum and pedagogy, educator development, digital responsibility, and ecosystem partnership. Its novelty lies in repositioning pluralism and multiculturalism from separate moral or pedagogical topics into a coordinated educational management architecture. The framework implies that educational leaders should translate inclusive values into policy, programmes, organisational culture, professional conduct, and evaluation rather than leaving tolerance to incidental classroom activities.

Keywords: Inclusive education management, pluralism, multiculturalism, tolerance, educational strategy



© 2026 by the author(s)

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>).

Journal Homepage <https://ejournal.lerc-edu.org/index.php/praeftectura>

How to cite: Nawar, R. P., & Sabani, F. (2026). Strategic management of inclusive education for strengthening pluralism and multiculturalism. Praefectura: Journal of Transformational Leadership and Educational Management, in press.

Published by: **Lagaligo Education and Research Centre**

INTRODUCTION

Diversity is an inseparable feature of contemporary social life. Religion, ethnicity, language, cultural tradition, and social background increasingly meet within the same educational and public spaces because of mobility, communication technology, and the circulation of information. This condition

creates significant social and educational potential, but it also intensifies the risk of intolerance, discrimination, stereotyping, and identity-based conflict when differences are not managed wisely and continuously (Hadijaya et al., 2024; Nurzalinda et al., 2025). Therefore, educational institutions cannot treat diversity merely as demographic information. They must develop governance, curricula, organisational cultures, and learning practices that convert difference into a resource for cooperation and shared civic life.

Multiculturalism and pluralism provide two related but distinct ways of understanding this challenge. Multiculturalism emphasises recognition, equality, inclusion, and protection for different cultural and religious identities. Pluralism goes further by requiring active engagement through dialogue, interaction, negotiation, and cooperation. In this sense, pluralism is not simply the fact that many groups live in one place; it is an ethical and civic relationship that binds diversity within a common framework of civility (Khafidhoh et al., 2025). Parekh's perspective, as discussed by Putera (2024) and Arief (2024), similarly stresses that sustainable multicultural life cannot be achieved through passive tolerance alone. It requires fair spaces in which cultural groups can explain themselves, listen to others, and participate in shaping shared arrangements.

The Islamic perspective in the source manuscript reinforces this orientation. Qur'an 49:13 presents human diversity as a divine reality intended to facilitate mutual knowledge rather than hierarchy based on ethnicity or social origin. The interpretation discussed by Siregar and Jamil (2024) positions cultural, linguistic, and social differences within a wider ethic of fraternity and mutual respect. Melati and Hamdanah (2024) likewise argue that multiculturalism in an Islamic perspective should support recognition, justice, and constructive participation. This normative foundation is important for Islamic education because it connects pluralism with moral responsibility rather than with unrestricted relativism. Individuals may preserve religious convictions while recognising the equal dignity and civic rights of people with different identities.

Education is strategically positioned to translate these principles into social practice. Schools and universities do not only transfer knowledge; they shape habits, identities, expectations, and patterns of interaction. Inclusive education extends this function by guaranteeing equal learning opportunities, recognising different needs, and preventing discrimination against learners from minority, marginalised, disabled, or socio-economically disadvantaged backgrounds (Juna et al., 2026). Multicultural education, particularly in the thought of James A. Banks, also requires diversity to be integrated into content, pedagogy, knowledge construction, prejudice reduction, and the broader culture of the institution (Fathoni, 2025; Purwasari & Maksum, 2023). Consequently, tolerance cannot be confined to occasional celebrations or a single subject. It must become an institutional commitment.

Existing discussions in the reviewed literature provide strong insights into pluralism, multicultural education, cultural intelligence, social trust, media literacy, and inclusive learning. However, these themes are frequently presented as separate moral, pedagogical, social, or policy concerns. The source manuscript itself brings them together, but it does not yet articulate a coherent educational management architecture. This gap matters because values become sustainable only when leadership, policy, curriculum, educator capacity, digital conduct, partnership, and evaluation are aligned. Without such alignment, inclusive commitments may depend on individual goodwill and disappear when personnel or circumstances change.

This article therefore aims to synthesise the source manuscript into a strategic management framework for inclusive education. It addresses three questions: how active pluralism should be understood in a global-digital society; which educational, policy, digital, and social strategies can strengthen tolerance and diversity; and how inclusive education can institutionalise these values over time. The contribution of the article is an integrative framework that positions pluralism and multiculturalism as coordinated responsibilities of educational leadership and management rather than as isolated classroom messages.

RESEARCH METHOD

This article employed a narrative literature review and conceptual synthesis. The analytical corpus consisted of 23 publications already assembled and cited in the source manuscript. Most of the publications were issued between 2023 and 2026, while several earlier studies were retained because they supplied foundational discussions of the global village, social integration, and multicultural education. The sources included journal articles on pluralism, multiculturalism, inclusive education, cultural

intelligence, dialogue, social literacy, digital literacy, social trust, curriculum integration, collaborative learning, and cooperation between teachers, families, and communities.

The analysis proceeded in four stages. First, the argument, key concepts, and practical recommendations of each publication were identified from the source manuscript and its reference list. Second, the materials were coded under three themes derived from the structure of the manuscript: active pluralism in the global-digital context; strategies for strengthening tolerance and diversity; and inclusive education as a means of internalising values. Third, convergent and complementary propositions were compared, particularly those concerning recognition, dialogue, policy, literacy, collaboration, curriculum, and educator conduct. Fourth, the themes were integrated into a strategic management framework that links values with institutional action.

The review is narrative rather than systematic. It does not claim exhaustive database coverage, a reproducible search protocol, or statistical generalisation. Its purpose is to transform the conceptual materials in the source manuscript into a coherent framework that is relevant to educational leadership and management. Analytical credibility was supported through explicit thematic organisation, comparison of ideas across multiple sources, and a clear distinction between source-derived arguments and the integrative model proposed in this article.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Active Pluralism in the Global-Digital Context

The reviewed literature shows that multiculturalism begins with recognition but cannot end there. Recognition is necessary because cultural, religious, ethnic, and linguistic groups need equal protection and the opportunity to participate in social life. Nevertheless, recognition that produces only physical coexistence may leave stereotypes, suspicion, and unequal power relations unchanged. Active pluralism addresses this limitation by requiring communication and cooperation across differences. Khafidhoh et al. (2025) describe pluralism as a genuine relationship among diverse groups within bonds of civility, while Putera (2024) and Arief (2024) emphasise dialogical negotiation in Parekh's understanding of multicultural society. Thus, the managerial challenge is not simply to accommodate different groups, but to create rules and spaces through which they can participate fairly.

Globalisation and digital communication intensify this challenge. Pamungkas (2015) explains the global village as a condition in which communication networks weaken geographical boundaries and accelerate the circulation of information, people, and cultural influence. In education, learners and educators encounter identities and narratives that extend far beyond the local community. Identity can become hybrid and situational because individuals participate in local traditions, national citizenship, religious communities, and global digital cultures at the same time. This fluidity can enlarge understanding, but it can also generate confusion, polarisation, or symbolic conflict when institutions do not provide adequate interpretive and ethical guidance.

Cultural intelligence is therefore an important educational capacity. Soejanto et al. (2024) show its relevance for educators who work with diverse learners, particularly because effective interaction requires cognitive understanding, motivation, reflection, and behavioural adaptation. Cultural intelligence does not mean abandoning one's identity. It means learning how to interpret unfamiliar practices, communicate respectfully, and adjust behaviour without demeaning other people. From a management perspective, this capacity should be developed deliberately through educator recruitment, professional development, supervision, and institutional expectations.

The global-digital context also brings political and moral pressures. Identity-based populism, discriminatory narratives, and unequal access to resources can convert diversity into conflict. Human rights principles provide a normative floor by insisting on equality, justice, and non-discrimination (Radifan et al., 2024). In an educational institution, these principles should appear in admission, discipline, assessment, participation, religious accommodation, protection from harassment, and access to learning support. Active pluralism is therefore not a vague attitude of being friendly. It is a structured commitment to equal dignity, meaningful participation, and non-violent negotiation of difference.

Strategic Management for Tolerance and Diversity

The literature identifies three interdependent layers for strengthening tolerance: educational formation, inclusive governance, and social collaboration. The first layer develops dispositions and competencies. Hikmah et al. (2025) emphasise the role of multicultural education in building interreligious tolerance at school through curriculum integration, habituation, educator example, and

cooperation with families and communities. Dialogue is equally important because it enables individuals to encounter the reasoning and experiences of others rather than relying on stereotypes. Asadi and Inayah (2024) describe intercultural dialogue as a means of strengthening collective identity in global society. Managed well, dialogue can transform suspicion into recognition and disagreement into a learning process.

Social literacy supports this process by helping learners understand emotions, relationships, public ethics, and cultural sensitivity. Wijayanto et al. (2025) connect social literacy with the quality of social studies learning and with teachers' ability to guide learners in complex social contexts. For institutional leaders, the implication is that tolerance must be translated into competencies that can be taught, observed, and evaluated. Learners should be able to listen, verify information, express disagreement respectfully, cooperate across groups, and recognise discriminatory assumptions. These competencies can be embedded in learning outcomes, student projects, co-curricular programmes, counselling, and school rules.

The second layer concerns governance and public policy. Anti-discrimination principles should be reflected in formal regulations, complaint mechanisms, and consistent sanctions rather than remaining symbolic statements. Inclusive policy also requires attention to minority rights, access to educational opportunities, and protection from domination by majority groups. Such policies should be accompanied by digital literacy because identity conflict increasingly travels through misinformation and hate speech. Rensiyana et al. (2025) underline the importance of media literacy for evaluating information critically and distinguishing credible information from hoaxes. Educational management should therefore include protocols for responsible digital participation, verification practices, positive content production, and responses to online harassment.

The third layer is collaboration across communities. Shared activities can reduce social distance when participants work toward goals that matter to all groups. Social trust develops through repeated experiences of fairness, reliability, and transparent problem-solving. Pratama et al. (2024) show the relationship between organisational membership and social trust, while Kesuma (2019) explains that social integration is strengthened by patterns of interdependence. In educational settings, these insights support cross-group service projects, parent forums, community partnerships, and collaborative economic or environmental activities. The objective is not to erase difference, but to produce practical experiences in which different groups discover mutual dependence and common responsibility.

These layers require strategic coordination. Transformational educational leadership is relevant because leaders must articulate an inclusive vision, align structures with values, motivate participation, and sustain change. A leader who promotes tolerance verbally but allows biased rules, segregated activities, or discriminatory digital behaviour sends contradictory signals. Strategic management closes this gap by linking vision, policy, curriculum, human-resource development, communication, partnership, and evaluation. Table 1 summarises the integrated domains derived from the reviewed literature.

Table 1. Integrated Strategic Domains for Inclusive Education Management

Strategic domain	Managerial orientation	Illustrative practices	Expected contribution
Inclusive governance	Equality, protection, and participation	Anti-discrimination rules; accessible complaint mechanisms; fair participation; minority protection	Institutional trust and equal dignity
Curriculum and pedagogy	Integration of diversity across learning	Multicultural content; dialogue; collaborative projects; reflective learning	Knowledge, empathy, and cooperative competence
Educator development	Cultural intelligence and anti-bias professionalism	Training; supervision; role modelling; counselling capacity	Consistent inclusive conduct
Digital responsibility	Critical and ethical participation in information spaces	Source verification; anti-hoax literacy; responses to hate speech; positive content	Resilience against polarisation and online discrimination
Ecosystem partnership	Shared responsibility across school, family, community, and public institutions	Parent education; cross-community activities; service projects; transparent conflict resolution	Social trust and sustainable pluralism

Inclusive Education Management and the Internalisation of Values

Inclusive education is both an ethical commitment and a management system. Juna et al. (2026) frame it as a manifestation of social justice in Islamic education because it offers equal learning opportunities without discrimination. This principle extends beyond disability inclusion. It also concerns learners whose religious, cultural, linguistic, economic, or social identities are marginalised. A school becomes inclusive when its structures, resources, relationships, and expectations allow every learner to participate meaningfully. Consequently, inclusion should be visible in planning, budgeting, staffing, curriculum design, assessment, student services, and institutional evaluation.

Diana L. Eck's concept of pluralism, discussed by Wati and Ardelia (2023), helps clarify why schools must become spaces of active encounter. Diversity may exist in a school while students remain socially separated. Pluralism requires intentional opportunities for them to learn with and from one another. School leaders can support this through mixed-group projects, dialogue forums, representative student organisations, inclusive ceremonies, and conflict-resolution processes. These arrangements should not force uniformity. Their purpose is to ensure that no group is treated as a permanent outsider in its own educational environment.

Value internalisation depends heavily on the daily conduct of educators. Nst and Ritonga (2025) emphasise the role of Islamic religious education in internalising tolerance through reflection, discussion, habituation, and teacher example. The managerial significance is clear: role modelling must be treated as a professional standard, not a private preference. Recruitment, induction, performance appraisal, mentoring, and supervision can all reinforce anti-bias communication and fair treatment. When educators use inclusive language, distribute attention equitably, respond consistently to harassment, and demonstrate respectful disagreement, they make institutional values visible.

Curriculum integration is another central mechanism. Purwasari and Maksum (2023) discuss Banks's multicultural education framework, especially content integration, while Fathoni (2025) considers its relevance from an Islamic educational perspective. Diversity should not appear only in religious education or civics. History, language, literature, social science, arts, and even project-based science can reveal the contributions of multiple communities and examine how knowledge is shaped. Curriculum leaders should therefore review learning materials for omission, stereotyping, and imbalance, while ensuring that inclusion remains academically meaningful rather than decorative.

Pedagogy should create interaction that allows learners to practise the values stated in the curriculum. Suhesti et al. (2025) show the usefulness of collaborative learning for developing multicultural awareness. In mixed groups, learners must communicate, divide responsibility, negotiate differences, and recognise one another's abilities. Such learning is effective when teachers design equitable roles and prevent dominant students from silencing others. Dialogue, reflective journals, case analysis, and community projects can complement collaboration by helping learners connect experience with moral and civic reasoning.

Sustainability also depends on the educational ecosystem. Awaru et al. (2023) stress the role of teachers and parents in multicultural education. If schools promote inclusion while family or community messages legitimise exclusion, learners experience a conflict of norms. Educational leaders should therefore communicate the institution's values clearly, involve parents in discussion, and build partnerships with community and religious leaders. This does not require agreement on every issue. It requires shared commitment to safety, dignity, fairness, and peaceful interaction.

An Integrated Management Framework and Its Implications

The synthesis produces a five-domain framework: inclusive governance, curriculum and pedagogy, educator development, digital responsibility, and ecosystem partnership. The framework's novelty lies in linking themes that are often discussed separately. Pluralism becomes the desired form of social relationship; multiculturalism supplies recognition and equality; inclusive education provides the institutional setting; and strategic management coordinates the structures that make these values durable. The framework also connects moral formation with policy, organisational culture, and public participation.

Implementation can begin with an institutional diversity diagnosis. Leaders need to understand who participates, who is excluded, where bias appears, which digital conflicts affect learners, and how different groups experience school rules. The diagnosis should be followed by an inclusive policy review and a curriculum audit. Educator development should then address cultural intelligence, anti-bias communication, collaborative pedagogy, and conflict mediation. At the same time, the institution should

develop digital-literacy protocols and structured partnerships with families and communities. These steps are mutually reinforcing and should be monitored through indicators of participation, safety, trust, learner cooperation, and responses to discrimination.

For transformational leadership, the framework shifts attention from personal charisma to institutional change. Inclusive leaders articulate a vision, but they also redistribute participation, develop staff capacity, revise policies, and create feedback systems. They recognise that tolerance is weakened when organisational procedures contradict public statements. Strategic consistency is therefore the central test: learners should encounter the same commitment to dignity in classrooms, counselling, discipline, student organisations, online spaces, and community partnerships.

The framework has several limitations. It is a conceptual synthesis based on the literature assembled in the source manuscript and is not yet supported by field testing in a specific school or university. The corpus is also dominated by Indonesian-language publications, so the framework should not be treated as universally validated. Future studies can operationalise the five domains, examine their relationships with school climate and social trust, and test the framework through qualitative case studies, surveys, or mixed-method research. Comparative studies across Islamic schools, public schools, and higher education institutions would be particularly valuable.

CONCLUSION

Pluralism and multiculturalism are not adequately sustained by passive recognition of diversity. In global and digital societies, they require active dialogue, cultural intelligence, fair participation, non-discrimination, critical media literacy, and repeated experiences of cooperation. Education is strategically important because it can transform these principles into habits, competencies, and institutional norms. However, this function becomes effective only when inclusive values are embedded in management rather than left to occasional classroom activities.

This article proposes an integrated framework comprising inclusive governance, curriculum and pedagogy, educator development, digital responsibility, and ecosystem partnership. The framework positions educational leaders as coordinators of value-based institutional change. They must align policies, professional conduct, learning design, digital practices, family engagement, and community collaboration. Its practical implication is that tolerance should be planned, resourced, supervised, and evaluated as part of educational quality. Empirical research is needed to test the framework and develop measurable indicators, but the synthesis provides a coherent foundation for managing inclusive education in plural and multicultural contexts.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors received no specific grant for this work. The article was developed from an academic paper prepared at Universitas Islam Negeri Palopo.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Rere Pahira Nawar: Conceptualization; literature collection; writing - original draft. Fatmaridah Sabani: Supervision; validation; writing - review and editing. Muh. Afriansa: Methodology; conceptual synthesis; project administration; writing - review and editing.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Arief, M. I. (2024). Dinamika masyarakat multikultural: Peta pemikiran Bhikhu Parekh terhadap perbedaan budaya untuk penguatan keragaman. *Religion: Jurnal Agama, Sosial, dan Budaya*, 3(2), 126-139. <https://doi.org/10.55606/religion.v3i2.927>
- Asadi, S., & Inayah. (2024). Menyatukan perbedaan: Peran dialog antarbudaya dalam memperkuat identitas kolektif di era globalisasi. *SEIKAT: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial, Politik dan Hukum*, 3(6), 295-301.
- Awaru, A. O. T., Herawati, & Bandaso, E. G. (2023). Peran guru dan orang tua dalam mengimplementasikan pendidikan multikultural. *Jurnal Penelitian dan Pengabdian Masyarakat*, 3(3), 1147-1154. <https://doi.org/10.59141/comserva.v3i03.846>

- Fathoni, T. (2025). Telaah pemikiran James A. Banks dalam perspektif pendidikan Islam. *Nasir: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 3(1), 39-47. <https://doi.org/10.59698/nasir.v3i1.488>
- Hadijaya, Y., Fahrezi, M., Intan, N., & Zakiah, N. (2024). Konsep multikulturalisme dan pluralisme dalam pendidikan. *Jiip: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pendidikan*, 7(3), 3101-3108. <https://doi.org/10.54371/jiip.v7i3.3790>
- Hikmah, N., Paradise, R. A., & Mubin, N. (2025). Peran pendidikan multikultural dalam membangun toleransi antarumat beragama di sekolah. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Indonesia*, 3(1), 239-244. <https://doi.org/10.62017/jppi.v3i1.6053>
- Juna, A., Nurhasanah, S., & Sari, H. P. (2026). Pendidikan inklusif sebagai wujud keadilan sosial dalam pendidikan Islam. *IHSAN: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 4(2), 102-114. <https://doi.org/10.61104/ihsan.v4i2.5238>
- Kesuma, T. A. R. P. (2019). Pola integrasi dalam masyarakat majemuk: Studi ketahanan sosial di Kecamatan Kotagajah, Lampung. *Jurnal Pendidikan Ilmu Pengetahuan Sosial Indonesia*, 4(2), 184-212. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jipsindo.v4i2.17576>
- Khafidhoh, Ahmadi, & Ajahari. (2025). Persamaan dan perbedaan multikulturalisme dan pluralisme dalam konteks pendidikan Islam multikultural. *Jurnal Ilmiah Literasi Indonesia*, 1(1), 125-134. <https://doi.org/10.63822/0c24vp79>
- Melati, & Hamdanah. (2024). Multikulturalisme: Memahami keanekaragaman dalam masyarakat global dalam perspektif Islam. *INNOVATIVE: Journal of Social Science Research*, 4(3), 1504-1515. <https://doi.org/10.31004/innovative.v4i3.10660>
- Nst, M. L. A., & Ritonga, A. A. (2025). Peran pendidikan agama Islam dalam internalisasi nilai toleransi di SMA Teladan Pematangsiantar. *Jurnal Pendidikan Tambusai*, 9(3), 41976-41981. <https://doi.org/10.31004/jptam.v9i3.35750>
- Nurzalinda, L., Akmaluddin, A., & Itryah. (2025). Pluralisme dan multikulturalisme. *Journal of Social Sciences Spectrum*, 1(2), 1-10. <https://sriwijayamediapermata.id/index.php/spectrum>
- Pamungkas, C. (2015). Global village dan globalisasi dalam konteks ke-Indonesiaan. *Jurnal Global & Strategis*, 9(2), 245-261. <https://doi.org/10.20473/jgs.9.2.2015.245-261>
- Pratama, M., Pierewan, A. C., & Wardana, A. (2024). Hubungan keanggotaan organisasi dan kepercayaan sosial dalam masyarakat Indonesia. *Dimensia: Jurnal Kajian Sosiologi*, 13(2), 141-152. <https://doi.org/10.21831/dimensia.v13i2.66811>
- Purwasari, D. R., & Maksum, N. R. (2023). Konsep pendidikan multikultural dalam pandangan James A. Banks. *MODELING: Jurnal Program Studi PGMI*, 10(2), 249-258. <https://doi.org/10.69896/modeling.v10i2.1746>
- Putera, R. P. (2024). Identitas dan toleransi: Konsep utama dalam Rethinking Multiculturalism. *Jurnal Pendidikan, Bahasa dan Budaya*, 3(4), 30-39. <https://doi.org/10.55606/jpbb.v3i4.4529>
- Radifan, R., FS, M. I., & Davictor, R. (2024). Perlindungan dan pemajuan hak asasi manusia di tingkat nasional dan internasional. *JPPHK: Jurnal Pendidikan Politik, Hukum dan Kewarganegaraan*, 14(2), 89-101. <https://doi.org/10.35194/jpphk.v14i2.4315>
- Rensiyana, J. F., Khairuddin, & Agil, I. (2025). Pentingnya literasi media dalam menghadapi informasi hoaks. *Jurnal Multidisiplin Ilmu Akademik*, 2(4), 319-328. <https://doi.org/10.61722/jmia.v2i4.52523>
- Siregar, R. R., & Jamil, M. (2024). Konsep multikulturalisme dalam Surah Al-Hujurat ayat 13 perspektif Tafsir Ibnu Katsir. *Jurnal Semiotika-Q: Kajian Ilmu Al-Quran dan Tafsir*, 4(1), 390-402. <https://doi.org/10.19109/jsq.v4i1.25099>

- Soejanto, L. T., Bariyyah, K., & Andrianie, S. (2024). Kecerdasan budaya guru BK dan implikasinya pada layanan konseling multikultural. *Jurnal Psikoedukasi dan Konseling*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.20961/jpk.v8i2.95906>
- Suhesti, Suardi, & Aziz, A. (2025). Efektivitas model pembelajaran collaborative learning dalam mengembangkan kesadaran multikultural siswa pada mata pelajaran PPKn di SMPN Satap 3 Bonto Cani. *Pendas: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dasar*, 10(3), 320-326. <https://doi.org/10.23969/jp.v10i03.33431>
- Wati, D. F., & Ardelia, V. (2023). Gawai Dayak: Tradisi setelah masa panen sebagai wujud pluralisme dalam masyarakat di Bumi Sebaloh. *INNOVATIVE: Journal of Social Science Research*, 3(5), 3141-3154.
- Wijayanto, R. S., Septiarani, C., Selvitri, N., Dewi, S. E. K., Nurbaiti, I., & Istiyana, F. (2025). Pentingnya literasi sosial bagi guru SD dalam meningkatkan kualitas pembelajaran IPS. *Jurnal Pustaka Nusantara Multidisiplin*, 3(4), 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.59945/jpnm.v3i4.866>

Copyright Holder :

© Rere Pahira Nawar, and Fatmaridah Sabani (2026).

First Publication Right :

© Praefectura: Journal of Transformational Leadership and Educational Management

This article is under:

